



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/829,144

04/21/2004

Anthony M. Mazany

56181.5500

5093

85628

7590

04/14/2009

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. (GOODRICH)

ONE ARIZONA CENTER

400 E. VAN BUREN STREET

PHOENIX, AZ 85004-2202

EXAMINER

TUROCZY, DAVID P

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1792

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/14/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/829,144	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MAZANY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DAVID TUROCY	<b>Art Unit</b> 1792	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID TUROCY. (3) David Nigro.

(2) Damon Boyd. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 10 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Block and Stover.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants representatives presented arguments and proposed claim amendments to overcome the prior art of record. The examiner reviewed the claim amendments and provided the examiners position with regards to the claim amendments and the arguments. The applicant agreed, taking the examiners statements into consideration, to submit a response to the outstanding office action to be fully considered on the record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/David Turocy/ Examiner, Art Unit 1792	
-------------------------------------------	--